Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/635,810	SIMON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Derek L Dupuis	2883
All Participants:	Status of Application: in process of examination	
(1) <u>Derek L Dupuis</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Jester (Reg No 28,022).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 February 2005	Time: <u>2pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 4, 5, 11, 14, and 15		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Brian Healy		
Primary Expression		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	anature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner has found art on claims 5 and 15 which were previously indicated as allowable by the examiner in the first office action. Claims 5 and 15 had been cancelled by the applicant and rewritten in independent form with all other limitations in the amendement filed by the applicant after the first office action. Therefore, the examiner will be issuing a second action, non-final rejection. Claims 4 and 14 were still found to be allowable over the prior art of record.